

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,714	12/14/2001	Cheryl J. Kaminsky	83744AEK	9586
7590 01/06/2005			EXAMINER	
Paul A. Leipold			CHANG, VICTOR S	
Patent Legal St				
Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
343 State Street			1771	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Anti-us Community	10/020,714	KAMINSKY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Victor S Chang	1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS c. cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. I from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status		•
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under N 	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,6-12,15 and 17-32 is/are pending is 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19,23-25,27 and 30- 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,6-12,15,17,18,20-22,26,28 and 29 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	:32 is/are withdrawn from consister is/are rejected.	sideration.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<u></u>	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/020,714

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

- 1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicants' amendments and remarks filed on 11/12/2004. Applicants' amendments to the specification, claims 1, 6-8, 15, 18, 20-22, 26 and 28, cancellation of claims 2-5, 13, 14 and 16 have all been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Rejections not maintained are withdrawn. In particular, the Examiner notes that Applicants' amendments to the specification and claims are sufficient to overcome the prior objection and rejections in sections 2-6 of Office action dated 6/9/2004.
- 4. This application contains claims 19, 23-25, 27 and 30-32 drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse in Response filed 4/22/204. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Terminal Disclaimer

5. The terminal disclaimer filed on 11/12/2004 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Application Nos. 10/017,002, 10/020,404 and 10/017,402 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Rejections Based on Prior Art

6. Claims 1,6-12,15,17,18,20-22,26,28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allen et al. (US6057961), generally as set forth in section 12 of Office action dated 6/9/2004, together with the following additional response to argument.

First, the Examiner repeats the relied upon prior art as follows: Allen's invention is directed to an optical film which exhibits increased gain at non-normal angles of incidence and which comprises a disperse phase of polymeric particles disposed within a continuous birefringent matrix. The film is stretch oriented in one or more directions. The size and shape of the disperse phase particles, the volume fraction of the disperse phase, and the film thickness are chosen to attain a desired degree of diffuse reflection and total transmission of electromagnetic radiation of a desired wavelength in the resulting film (Abstract). In some embodiments, the materials of the continuous and disperse phases may be chosen so that the interface between the two phases will be sufficiently weak to result in microvoiding when the film is oriented. The average dimensions of the voids may be controlled through careful manipulation of processing parameters and stretch ratios, or through selective use of compatibilizers (column 22, lines 4-14).

Applicants' argument "No teaching or suggestion could be found in Allen et al. as to the parameters of voids to be employed to accomplish an improvement in the ΔT result. On the other hand, the ΔT values for examples 1-5 are not more than 40°K and, for Example 3, not more than 20°K. No basis could be found in Allen et al. for arriving

Art Unit: 1771

at the desired improvement" (Remarks, page 12, last paragraph) has been carefully considered, but is not persuasive. More particularly, the Examiner repeats (see page 9 of Office action dated 6/9/2004) that Allen's invention is directed to the same subject matter as the instant invention, i.e., a polymeric optical film which exhibits increased gain at non-normal angles of incidence and which comprises a disperse phase of polymeric particles disposed within a continuous birefringent matrix. Allen also teaches that the size and shape of the disperse phase particles, and the volume fraction of the disperse phase and the film thickness (which reads on the frequency of the microvoids and the number of times the refractive index changes) are chosen to attain a desired degree of diffuse reflection and total transmission of electromagnetic radiation of a desired wavelength in the resulting film, as set forth above. Finally, Allen expressly teaches that the films exhibit a flat transmission curve as a function of the wavelength of light, which tends to minimize any changes (variation) in color to a resultant display device (column 5, lines 30-34). As such, since Allen teaches essentially the same subject matter as the instantly claimed invention, i.e., a microvoided polymeric light diffuser, although Allen is silent about the ∆T values of not more than 20°K, it is believed that suitable ΔT values, in the absence of unexpected results, are obvious optimizations to one of ordinary skill in the art of polymer film light diffuser over Allen's teachings. motivated by the desire to obtain a light diffuser with minimal color changes, as taught by Allen. It should be noted that where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or

Application/Control Number: 10/020,714

Art Unit: 1771

substantially identical processes, a *prima facie* case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established. See MPEP § 2112.01.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-1474. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,714

Art Unit: 1771

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vsc

Victor S Chang Examiner Art Unit 1771

12/28/2004

TERREL MORMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700